

APPENDIX F  
CULTURAL RESOURCE REPORTS

APPENDIX F-1  
ARCHAEOLOGICAL LITERATURE  
SEARCH AND NATIVE AMERICAN  
CONSULTATION



# holman & ASSOCIATES

## Archaeological Consultants

"SINCE THE BEGINNING"

3615 FOLSOM ST. SAN FRANCISCO,  
CALIFORNIA 94110 415/550-7286

5 June 2017

Will Burns  
David J. Powers & Associates  
1611 Telegraph Avenue, Ste. 1002  
Oakland, CA 94612

Re: Results of a CEQA Archaeological Literature Search and Initial Native American Consultation  
for 556 El Camino Real, Burlingame, San Mateo County, California

Dear Will:

Per your request, Holman & Associates completed a CEQA-level records search for 556 El Camino Real in Burlingame (Map 1). The 0.35-acre parcel (APN 029-111-260) is located within an urban area near the boundary with the town of Hillsborough. Improvements would also be made to the adjacent El Camino Real within Caltrans right-of-way (State Route 82) with this area also included within the Project Area Limits (PAL; Map 2). The developer proposes to demolish an existing 14-unit apartment complex and associated improvements to construct a five-story, 21-unit condominium building. The multi-family residential building would include a below-grade parking garage, that automatically moves each vehicle by lift and then transfers it to a waiting cart on one of the multi-levels. The project proposes approximately 3,086 square feet of common open space be establish in the rear yard along the eastern side of the building. A proposed cross section shows impacts to 28 ft. below ground level, resulting in the off hauling of an anticipated 7,741 cubic yards of soil. The City of Burlingame (City) is the lead CEQA agency for this project and California Department of Transportation is also involved.

The Project PAL includes three trees that are part of the historic Howard-Ralston Tree Rows. The project proposes the removal of one non-historic eucalyptus tree (designated CT 197) approximately 16 inches in diameter on the southern project frontage along El Camino Real to accommodate the relocated entrance driveway. A replacement elm would be planted in the park strip along El Camino Real just south of the new entrance driveway to provide a Caltrans-approved replacement planting within the historic tree row with similar spacing to the current configuration. An existing contributing elm (CT 196, approximately four inches in diameter) to the Howard-Ralston Tree Rows in the center of the current park strip would be retained by the project. CT 195 is also included in the Project PAL.

### Records Search Results

On 27 April 2017, a records search (File No. 16-1709) was conducted by the author at the Northwest Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS), an adjunct to Sonoma State University located in Rohnert Park. All recorded archaeological sites within a quarter mile, and all other cultural resources and studies within and adjacent (25m) to the Project Area were reviewed. Additional research was conducted using Holman & Associates' library. A supplemental records search was conducted on 16 May 2017 (File No. 16-1823).

Within the Project PAL, two cultural resources detail the historic built environment. P-41-2191 documents the Howard-Ralston Eucalyptus Tree Rows that border both sides of El Camino Real for 1.76 miles within Burlingame and Hillsborough (Kostura 1999a). In 1999, Kostura estimated 80% of the standing eucalyptus trees were planted between 1873 and 1875. He evaluated these under CEQA and deemed them to be a historic resource. This built environment resource is listed on the National Register of Historic Places (Status 1S; CA-OHP 2012). As part of the current Project and in a separate report, Hill (2017) addressed the three trees that are part of this historic property.

The other cultural resource is El Camino Real, designated P-41-2192 (and P-38-2967 for portions within San Francisco County, and P-43-2628 for portions within Santa Clara County). A San Francisco section of this roadway is listed in 1963 as having a 1CL National Register status; that is eligible to this register (CA-OHP 2012). Only selected locations of the Hispanic Period roadway that linked the missions have been inspected and individually evaluated, such as The Alameda in San José, and some of the remaining Keesling Shade Trees between Hayes Ave and Ford Road on Monterey Road (Hope 2011; Jurich and Martinez 2008). Neither of these sections was determined eligible to the National Register because the current alignment is commemorative and the roadway does not possess historic characters or features (Hope 2011:6). Since its inception as a dirt trail, the route was widened several times to accommodate stage coaches, wagons, and motorized vehicles, and increased vehicular traffic. Most of the general route is now designated State Route 82 (SR 82). El Camino Real is a California Historic Landmark (No. 784) that acknowledges the approximate, modernized location of this portion of the Spanish travel route linking the missions from San Diego to San Francisco. This resource was automatically listed on the California Register of Historical Resources (Status 1CL) when that Register was created, because the resource was number 770 and above and sufficiently documented to meet the Register's standards.

Within the Project PAL, no other cultural resources are listed in federal, state, or local listings (CA-DPR 1976; CA-OHP 2012; City of Burlingame 2002; NPS 2017; San Mateo County Historical Association 1990). Within a quarter mile of the Project Area, no Native American archaeological sites have been recorded. In this portion of San Mateo County, Native American archaeological sites have been identified on land adjacent to historic bay margins, adjacent to major creeks, and at the base of the hills by waterways. The Project Area is located within the gently sloping valley not close to any major watercourse, and historically it was approximately 0.6 miles to the San Francisco Bay (ca. 1854; Nichols and Wright 1971).

The entire Project Area has been previously studied with only those relevant to this project presented below. In 1999, Caltrans conducted studies of El Camino Real between Bellevue and Floribund avenues prior to adding a left-turn lane. That investigation included the portion of El Camino Real within the current Project PAL. Caltrans's cultural resources studies first documented P-41-2191 during an archaeological field survey conducted within this urban area and no archaeological deposits or cultural materials were identified (Kostura 1999b). No historic buildings or structures were noted near the current Project Area with the exception of the tree rows. The Historical Architectural Survey Report (Kostura 1999c) evaluated that portion of El Camino Real that includes the roadway within the current Project PAL and determined the roadway was not eligible to the National Register because it lacked integrity.

In 2012, archaeological investigations along a 15.9-mile section of El Camino Real for Caltrans road improvements included the current Project PAL (Berg et al. 2012). Their study was a combination of geoarchaeological investigations, archaeological testing, and archaeological monitoring. These researchers identified the geology of the current Project PAL as Quaternary-age alluvial fan deposits (Qhf) with 556 EL Camino Real approximately 0.10 miles west of a primary drainage of the minor Terrace Creek depicted in 1899. Berg et al.'s investigation deemed any areas within 50m (164 ft.) of these small drainages and creeks to be highly sensitive. Their Location 12 (northwest of the Project PAL) was considered low to moderately sensitive and no further work was conducted. Location 13 (east of the

Project PAL and just west of Bellevue Avenue was considered highly sensitive because of its proximity to the historic-era creek channel and it is part of an alluvial fan deposit. This location was not cored, however, because of safety concerns. Nor were two more locations to the east of Location 13. Results from their geoarchaeological sampling did not identify any new archaeological deposits within a half mile of the current Project PAL. Archaeological monitoring was conducted for selected locations, but none within a half mile of the current Project PAL.

Historic-era maps for the project area were examined to identify the potential for archaeological resources in the Project Area. Between 1896 and 1915, the Project PAL was very rural with no development within the property or anywhere nearby adjacent to El Camino Real (USCGS 1896, 1899, 1915). By 1939, the Project PAL had been engulfed by urbanism with no specific development details provided including whether Terrace Creek still existed (US Army 1939). Based on the review of historical land use patterns, there is no indication that specific historic archaeological deposits might exist within the current Project Area.

### **Native American Consultation**

On behalf of the City, Holman & Associates initiated Native American Consultation to assist with AB52 procedures. On 26 April 2017, Holman & Associates contacted the Native American Heritage Commission to request a review of the Sacred Land Files (SLF) for any evidence of cultural resources or traditional properties of potential concern that might be known on lands within or adjacent to the Project PAL (see Appendix for all correspondence). On 3 May 2017, the Commission responded that no tribal cultural resources were identified during the SLF review. They also provided a contact list of five Native American individuals/organizations who may know of cultural resources in this area or have specific concerns about the project. That day, each of these contacts was sent an email with an attachment including a letter describing the project, a map of the Project Area, and inquiring whether they had any concerns.

On 15 May, 2017, Irenne Zwierlein responded by email with the following requests. She recommended Cultural Sensitivity Training for all crews involved in any earth movement. She also asked for a California trained archaeological monitor during earth movement activities, and for a qualified and trained Native American monitor when needed.

When none of the other individuals/organizations responded, the author telephoned or emailed each on 24 May 2017. The author spoke with Mr. Cerda who had no comments or concerns. A phone message was left for Ms. Sayers. Both Ms. Cambra and Mr. Galvan could not be reached by phone, so the original contact letters were emailed with a request for comments. No comments or concerns have been received.

At no time during the consultation process was any specific Native American resource identified within or near the project footprint.

### **Summary and Recommendations**

No archaeological sites have been recorded within a quarter mile of 556 El Camino Real in Burlingame. Two cultural resources have been recorded documenting the built environment: Howard-Ralston Eucalyptus Tree Rows (P-41-2191) is on the National and California registers (see Hill 2017); and the portion of El Camino Real (P-41-2192) within the Project PAL has been determined not eligible to the National Register, but eligible for the California Register. Several investigations have studied the 556 El Camino Real Project PAL, although no archaeological survey has been conducted except along El Camino Real where the entire area is paved or otherwise developed.

One Native American, Ms. Zwierlein, provided recommendations during the Native American consultation process that she would like cultural sensitivity training for all crews conducting work at the project footprint, and she requested an archaeological monitor, and if needed a Native American monitor.

Holman & Associates conducted initial consultation on behalf of the City of Burlingame to fulfill its AB52 obligations and it is now the City's responsibility to contact any Native American who might have contacted them for direct consultation.


Research presented above suggests there is a moderate potential for Native American archaeological deposits or cultural materials, and a low potential for historic-era resources. Holman & Associates does not believe the Project PAL is sufficiently sensitive to warrant an archaeological monitor. Should the City require cultural sensitivity training as requested by Irenne Zwierlein, Ms. Zwierlein and Holman & Associates would be available to assist with this task.

In the event that buried, or previously unrecognized archaeological deposits or materials of any kind are inadvertently exposed during any construction activity, work within 50 ft. of the find shall cease until a qualified archaeologist can assess the find and provide recommendations for further treatment, if warranted. Construction and potential impacts to the area(s) within a radius determined by the archaeologist shall not recommence until the assessment is complete.

Human graves are often associated with prehistoric occupation sites. Section 7050.5 of the California Health and Safety Code states that it is a misdemeanor to knowingly disturb a human burial and Section 5097.99 of the Public Resources Code defines the obtaining or possession of Native American remains or grave goods to be a felony. If human remains are encountered as a result of construction activities, any work in the vicinity shall be halted and the County Coroner contacted.

Should you have any questions, please contact Sunshine Psota, [spsota@sonic.net](mailto:spsota@sonic.net) or 707.291.8786.

Sincerely,



Sunshine Psota, M.A., RPA  
Senior Associate

## References

Bryd, Brian F., John E. Berg, and Philip Kaijankoski, with contributions by Jemler W. Eerkens, Anna Fritschi, Kenneth W. Gobalet, Deborah Jones, Rebecca Kellawan, Jack Meyer, Thomas M. Origer, Howard Spero, Eric Wohlgemuth, and Jeffery Rosenthal

2012 *Archaeological Investigations for the State Route 92 Signal Interconnect and Intersection Modification Project, San Mateo County, California: 04-SMA-82, P.M 0.11/15.9, EA 04-24992.* Far Western Anthropological Research Group, Davis. Prepared for California Department of Transportation, District 4, Oakland.

California Department of Parks and Recreation (CA-DPR)

1976 *California Inventory of Historic Resources.* Department of Parks and Recreation, Sacramento.

1979 *California Historic Landmarks.* Revised 1982. Department of Parks and Recreation, Sacramento.

California State Office of Historic Preservation (CA-OHP)

2012 *Directory of Properties in the Historic Property Data File for San Mateo County, Updated to 5 April 2012* (most recent). Office of Historic Preservation, Sacramento.

City of Burlingame

2002 Downtown Specific Plan Initial Study.

Hope, Andrew

2011 Primary Record for P-41-2192. On file at the Northwest Information Center, California Historical Resources Information System, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park, California.

Kostura, William

1999a Primary Record for P-41-2191. On file at the Northwest Information Center, California Historical Resources Information System, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park, California.

1999b *Historic Resources Compliance Report including Report on the Finding of Adverse Effect for the Proposed Widening of State Route 82, Between Bellevue Avenue and Avenue in Hillsborough, San Mateo County: EA 253600, 04-SMA-82, KP 21.9/22.1, P.M. 13.6/13.7.* California Department of Transportation, District 4, Oakland.

1999c *Historic Architectural Survey Report for the Proposed Widening of State Route 82, Between Bellevue Avenue and Avenue in Hillsborough, San Mateo County: 04-SMA-82, KP 21.9/22.1, P.M. 13.6/13.7, 04-175, EA 253600.* California Department of Transportation, District 4, Oakland.

National Parks Service (NPS)

2017 National Register Properties for Burlingame, San Mateo County.

Nichols, Donald R., and Nancy A. Wright

1971 *Preliminary Map of Historic Margins of San Francisco Bay, California.* U.S. Geological Survey, Open File 71-216.


San Mateo County Historic Association

1990 *Town of Hillsborough Historic Building Survey.* San Mateo County Historic Association, San Mateo.

United States Army (US Army)

1939 San Mateo 15' Topographic Quadrangle.

United States Coast & Geodetic Survey (USCGS)  
1896 San Mateo 15' Topographic Quadrangle.  
1899 San Mateo 15' Topographic Quadrangle.  
1915 San Mateo 15' Topographic Quadrangle.



Map 1. Location of 556 El Camino Real Condominium Development Project, Burlingame, San Mateo County, California.



PROJECT AREA LIMITS

Map 2. Project Area Limits - Location of 556 El Camino Real Condominium Development Project, Burlingame, San Mateo County, California.

**Initial Native American Consultation for 556 El Camino Real, Burlingame** **Appendix**



**holman & ASSOCIATES**  
Archaeological Consultants  
"SINCE THE BEGINNING"

3615 FOLSOM ST. SAN FRANCISCO,  
CALIFORNIA 94110 415/550-7286

26 April 2017

Frank Lienart  
Native American Heritage Commission  
915 Capitol Mall, Room 364  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: 556 El Camino Real Condominium Development Project, Burlingame, San Mateo County

Dear Mr. Lienart,

Holman & Associates is consulting with Native Americans for the above referenced project in Burlingame. The 0.35-acre parcel (APN 029-111-260) is located at 556 El Camino Real in Burlingame. Off-site improvements would also be made to the adjacent El Camino Real within Caltrans right-of-way (State Route 82). The applicant proposes to demolish an existing 14-unit apartment complex and associated improvements to construct a five-story, 21-unit condominium building (refer to Figure 3.1-1). The multi-family residential building would include a below-grade parking garage, that automatically moves each vehicle by lift and then transfer it to a waiting cart on one of the multi-levels. A proposed cross section shows impacts to 28 ft. below surface. The City of Burlingame (City) is the lead CEQA agency for this project and California Department of Transportation is also involved. The project area is located within the unsectioned landgrant of San Mateo within Township 4 South and Range 5 West of MDBM as depicted on the San Mateo 7.5' topographic quadrangle.

Holman & Associates is assisting the City with initial Native American consultation. Please review the Sacred Lands File for any Native American cultural resources that may be within or adjacent to the study area. Please let me know if you have any information or concerns. I also request a current list of Native American individuals and groups who may have knowledge of cultural resources in the immediate vicinity of the Project APE, specifically those representatives of the Ohlones who wish to be contacted regarding potential cultural resources impacts in this portion of San Mateo County. Should you have any questions, I can be reached at my cell (707.291.8786) or by email (spsota@sonic.net). Please email or fax back results to **707.861.3424**.

I look forward to hearing from you. Thank you for your assistance with this project.

Sincerely,

Sunshine Psota

**NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION**

Environmental and Cultural Department  
1550 Harbor Blvd., Suite 100  
West Sacramento, CA 95691  
(916) 373-3710  
(916) 373-5471 FAX



May 3, 2017

Sunshine Psota  
Holman and Associates

Sent by Email: [spsota@sonic.net](mailto:spsota@sonic.net)

RE: Native American Consultation, Pursuant to Assembly Bill 52, Public Resources Code Sections 21080.1, 21080.3.1 and 21080.3.2, 556 El Camino Real Condominium Development Project, San Mateo County

Dear Ms. Psota:

Attached is a consultation list of tribes with traditional lands or cultural places located within the boundaries of the above referenced counties. Please note that the intent of the referenced codes is to avoid and or mitigate impacts to tribal cultural resources, as defined, in the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

As of July 1, 2015, Public Resources Code Sections 21080.1, 21080.3.1 and 21080.3.2 require public agencies to consult with California Native American tribes identified by the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating impacts to tribal cultural resources:

Within 14 days of determining that an application for a project is complete or a decision by a public agency to undertake a project, the lead agency shall provide formal notification to the designated contact of, or a tribal representative of, traditionally and culturally affiliated California Native American tribes that have requested notice, which shall be accomplished by means of at least one written notification that includes a brief description of the proposed project and its location, the lead agency contact information, and a notification that the California Native American tribe has 30 days to request consultation pursuant to this section. (Public Resources Code Section 21080.1(d))

The law does not preclude initiating consultation with the tribes that are culturally and traditionally affiliated within your jurisdiction. The NAHC believes that this is the best practice to ensure that tribes are consulted commensurate with the intent of the law.

In accordance with Public Resources Code Section 21080.1(d), formal notification must include a brief description of the proposed project and its location, the lead agency contact information, and a notification that the California Native American tribe has 30 days to request consultation. The NAHC also believes that agencies should also include with their notification letters, information regarding any cultural resources assessment that has been completed on the Area of Potential Effect (APE), such as:

1. The results of any record search that may have been conducted at an Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS), including, but not limited to:
  - A listing of any and all known cultural resources that have already been recorded or are adjacent to the APE, such as known archaeological sites;
  - Copies of any and all cultural resource records and study reports that may have been provided by the Information Center as part of the records search response;
  - Whether the records search indicates a low, moderate, or high probability that unrecorded cultural resources are located in the APE; and

- If a survey is recommended by the Information Center to determine whether previously unrecorded cultural resources are present.
2. The results of any archaeological inventory survey that was conducted, including:
    - Any report that may contain site forms, site significance, and suggested mitigation measures.

All information regarding site locations, Native American human remains, and associated funerary objects should be in a separate confidential addendum, and not be made available for public disclosure in accordance with Government Code Section 6254.10.
  3. The results of the Sacred Lands File (SLF) check conducted through the Native American Heritage Commission with the USGS topographical information provided had negative results.
  4. Any ethnographic studies conducted for any area including all or part of the potential APE; and
  5. Any geotechnical reports regarding all or part of the potential APE.


Lead agencies should be aware that records maintained by the NAHC and CHRIS are not exhaustive. A negative response to these searches does not preclude the existence of a cultural place. A tribe may be the only source of information regarding the existence of a tribal cultural resource.

This information will aid tribes in determining whether to request formal consultation. In the case that they do, having the information beforehand will help to facilitate the consultation process.

If you receive notification of change of addresses and phone numbers from tribes, please notify me. With your assistance, we are able to assure that our consultation list remains current.

If you have any questions, please contact me at my email address: [frank.lienert@nahc.ca.gov](mailto:frank.lienert@nahc.ca.gov)

Sincerely,



Frank Lienert  
Associate Governmental Program Analyst

**Native American Heritage Commission  
Native American Contacts  
5/3/2017**

Coastanoan Rumsen Carmel Tribe  
Tony Cerda, Chairperson  
244 E. 1st Street                      Ohlone/Costanoan  
Pomona                      , CA 91766  
rumsen@aol.com  
(909) 524-8041 Cell  
(909) 629-6081

Amah Mutsun Tribal Band of Mission San Juan Bautista  
Irene Zwierlein, Chairperson  
789 Canada Road                      Ohlone/Costanoan  
Woodside                      , CA 94062  
amahmutsuntribal@gmail.com  
(650) 851-7489 Cell  
(650) 851-7747 Office  
(650) 332-1526 Fax

Muwekma Ohlone Indian Tribe of the SF Bay Area  
Rosemary Cambra, Chairperson  
P.O. Box 360791                      Ohlone / Costanoan  
Milpitas                      , CA 95036  
muwekma@muwekma.org  
(408) 314-1898  
(510) 581-5194

The Ohlone Indian Tribe  
Andrew Galvan  
P.O. Box 3152                      Ohlone/Costanoan  
Fremont                      , CA 94539      Bay Miwok  
chochenyo@AOL.com              Plains Miwok  
(510) 882-0527 Cell              Patwin  
  
(510) 687-9393 Fax

Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Costanoan  
Ann Marie Sayers, Chairperson  
P.O. Box 28                      Ohlone/Costanoan  
Hollister                      , CA 95024  
ams@indiancanyon.org  
(831) 637-4238

This list is current only as of the date of this document and is based on the information available to the Commission on the date it was produced.

Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resource Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code

This list is only applicable for contacting local Native Americans with regard to cultural resources assessments for the updated contact list for **556 El Camino Real Condominium Development Project, San Mateo County**



**holman & ASSOCIATES**  
Archaeological Consultants  
"SINCE THE BEGINNING"

**3615 FOLSOM ST. SAN FRANCISCO,  
CALIFORNIA 94110 415/550-7286**

3 May 2017

Tony Cerda, Chairperson  
Coastanoan Rumsen Carmel Tribe  
244 East 1<sup>st</sup> Street  
Pomona, CA 91766

Re: 556 El Camino Real Condominium Development Project, Burlingame, San Mateo County

Dear Mr. Cerda:

Holman & Associates is assisting the City of Burlingame with initial Native American consultation for the above referenced project. The 0.35-acre parcel (APN 029-111-260) is located at 556 El Camino Real in Burlingame. Off-site improvements would also be made to the adjacent El Camino Real within Caltrans right-of-way (State Route 82). The applicant proposes to demolish an existing 14-unit apartment complex and associated improvements to construct a five-story, 21-unit condominium building (refer to Figure 3.1-1). The multi-family residential building would include a below-grade parking garage, that automatically moves each vehicle by lift and then transfer it to a waiting cart on one of the multi-levels. A proposed cross section shows impacts to 28 ft. below surface. The City of Burlingame (City) is the lead CEQA agency for this project and California Department of Transportation is also involved. The project area is located within the unsectioned landgrant of San Mateo within Township 4 South and Range 5 West of MDBM as depicted on the San Mateo 7.5' topographic quadrangle.

A records search at the Northwest Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System did not identify any known Native American sites within or close to this project.

Please notify me if you have any information or concerns about cultural resources that may be within or adjacent to the Project Area. I request that you respond in writing within 10 working days if you have such information or concerns. To reach me, please use email (spsota@sonic.net), or fax to (707.861.3424) and not the main office number shown above, or write to me at 1340 Kelly Avenue, Sebastopol, CA 95472.

Sincerely,

Sunshine Psota



**holman & ASSOCIATES**  
Archaeological Consultants  
"SINCE THE BEGINNING"

**3615 FOLSOM ST. SAN FRANCISCO,  
CALIFORNIA 94110 415/550-7286**

3 May 2017

Irenne Zwierlein, Chairperson  
Amah/Mutsun Tribal Band of Mission San Juan Bautista  
789 Canada Rd.  
Woodside, CA 94062

Re: 556 El Camino Real Condominium Development Project, Burlingame, San Mateo County

Dear Ms. Zwierlein:

Holman & Associates is assisting the City of Burlingame with initial Native American consultation for the above referenced project. The 0.35-acre parcel (APN 029-111-260) is located at 556 El Camino Real in Burlingame. Off-site improvements would also be made to the adjacent El Camino Real within Caltrans right-of-way (State Route 82). The applicant proposes to demolish an existing 14-unit apartment complex and associated improvements to construct a five-story, 21-unit condominium building (refer to Figure 3.1-1). The multi-family residential building would include a below-grade parking garage, that automatically moves each vehicle by lift and then transfer it to a waiting cart on one of the multi-levels. A proposed cross section shows impacts to 28 ft. below surface. The City of Burlingame (City) is the lead CEQA agency for this project and California Department of Transportation is also involved. The project area is located within the unsectioned landgrant of San Mateo within Township 4 South and Range 5 West of MDBM as depicted on the San Mateo 7.5' topographic quadrangle.

A records search at the Northwest Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System did not identify any known Native American sites within or close to this project.

Please notify me if you have any information or concerns about cultural resources that may be within or adjacent to the Project Area. I request that you respond in writing within 10 working days if you have such information or concerns. To reach me, please use email (spsota@sonic.net), or fax to (707.861.3424) and not the main office number shown above, or write to me at 1340 Kelly Avenue, Sebastopol, CA 95472.

Sincerely,

Sunshine Psota



**holman & ASSOCIATES**  
Archaeological Consultants  
"SINCE THE BEGINNING"

3615 FOLSOM ST. SAN FRANCISCO,  
CALIFORNIA 94110 415/550-7286

3 May 2017

Rosemary Cambra, Chairperson  
PO Box 360791  
Milpitas, CA 95036

Re: 556 El Camino Real Condominium Development Project, Burlingame, San Mateo County

Dear Ms. Cambra:

Holman & Associates is assisting the City of Burlingame with initial Native American consultation for the above referenced project. The 0.35-acre parcel (APN 029-111-260) is located at 556 El Camino Real in Burlingame. Off-site improvements would also be made to the adjacent El Camino Real within Caltrans right-of-way (State Route 82). The applicant proposes to demolish an existing 14-unit apartment complex and associated improvements to construct a five-story, 21-unit condominium building (refer to Figure 3.1-1). The multi-family residential building would include a below-grade parking garage, that automatically moves each vehicle by lift and then transfer it to a waiting cart on one of the multi-levels. A proposed cross section shows impacts to 28 ft. below surface. The City of Burlingame (City) is the lead CEQA agency for this project and California Department of Transportation is also involved. The project area is located within the unsectioned landgrant of San Mateo within Township 4 South and Range 5 West of MDBM as depicted on the San Mateo 7.5' topographic quadrangle.

A records search at the Northwest Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System did not identify any known Native American sites within or close to this project.

Please notify me if you have any information or concerns about cultural resources that may be within or adjacent to the Project Area. I request that you respond in writing within 10 working days if you have such information or concerns. To reach me, please use email (spsota@sonic.net), or fax to (707.861.3424) and not the main office number shown above, or write to me at 1340 Kelly Avenue, Sebastopol, CA 95472.

Sincerely,

Sunshine Psota



**holman & ASSOCIATES**  
Archaeological Consultants  
"SINCE THE BEGINNING"

**3615 FOLSOM ST. SAN FRANCISCO,  
CALIFORNIA 94110 415/550-7286**

3 May 2017

Andrew Galvan  
The Ohlone Indian Tribe  
PO Box 3152  
Freemont, CA 94539

Re: 556 El Camino Real Condominium Development Project, Burlingame, San Mateo County

Dear Mr. Galvan:

Holman & Associates is assisting the City of Burlingame with initial Native American consultation for the above referenced project. The 0.35-acre parcel (APN 029-111-260) is located at 556 El Camino Real in Burlingame. Off-site improvements would also be made to the adjacent El Camino Real within Caltrans right-of-way (State Route 82). The applicant proposes to demolish an existing 14-unit apartment complex and associated improvements to construct a five-story, 21-unit condominium building (refer to Figure 3.1-1). The multi-family residential building would include a below-grade parking garage, that automatically moves each vehicle by lift and then transfer it to a waiting cart on one of the multi-levels. A proposed cross section shows impacts to 28 ft. below surface. The City of Burlingame (City) is the lead CEQA agency for this project and California Department of Transportation is also involved. The project area is located within the unsectioned landgrant of San Mateo within Township 4 South and Range 5 West of MDBM as depicted on the San Mateo 7.5' topographic quadrangle.

A records search at the Northwest Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System did not identify any known Native American sites within or close to this project.

Please notify me if you have any information or concerns about cultural resources that may be within or adjacent to the Project Area. I request that you respond in writing within 10 working days if you have such information or concerns. To reach me, please use email (spsota@sonic.net), or fax to (707.861.3424) and not the main office number shown above, or write to me at 1340 Kelly Avenue, Sebastopol, CA 95472.

Sincerely,

Sunshine Psota



**holman & ASSOCIATES**  
**Archaeological Consultants**  
"SINCE THE BEGINNING"

**3615 FOLSOM ST. SAN FRANCISCO,  
CALIFORNIA 94110 415/550-7286**

3 May 2017

Ann Marie Sayers, Chairperson  
Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Costanoan  
P O Box 28  
Hollister, CA 95024

Re: 556 El Camino Real Condominium Development Project, Burlingame, San Mateo County

Dear Ms. Sayer:

Holman & Associates is assisting the City of Burlingame with initial Native American consultation for the above referenced project. The 0.35-acre parcel (APN 029-111-260) is located at 556 El Camino Real in Burlingame. Off-site improvements would also be made to the adjacent El Camino Real within Caltrans right-of-way (State Route 82). The applicant proposes to demolish an existing 14-unit apartment complex and associated improvements to construct a five-story, 21-unit condominium building (refer to Figure 3.1-1). The multi-family residential building would include a below-grade parking garage, that automatically moves each vehicle by lift and then transfer it to a waiting cart on one of the multi-levels. A proposed cross section shows impacts to 28 ft. below surface. The City of Burlingame (City) is the lead CEQA agency for this project and California Department of Transportation is also involved. The project area is located within the unsectioned landgrant of San Mateo within Township 4 South and Range 5 West of MDBM as depicted on the San Mateo 7.5' topographic quadrangle.

A records search at the Northwest Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System did not identify any known Native American sites within or close to this project.

Please notify me if you have any information or concerns about cultural resources that may be within or adjacent to the Project Area. I request that you respond in writing within 10 working days if you have such information or concerns. To reach me, please use email (spsota@sonic.net), or fax to (707.861.3424) and not the main office number shown above, or write to me at 1340 Kelly Avenue, Sebastopol, CA 95472.

Sincerely,

Sunshine Psota

**Table A-1: Native American Consultation for 556 El Camino Real, Burlingame**

<b>Contact</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Description</b>
Tony Cerda	3 May 2017	-Contact letter sent via email.
	24 May 2017	-Mr. Cerda said he had no comments or concerns.
Irenne Zwierlein	3 May 2017	-Contact letter sent via email.
	15 May 2017	-The following recommendations were emailed: Cultural Sensitivity Training for all crews involved in any earth movement; a California trained Archaeological Monitor during earth movement; and a Qualified and trained Native American monitor when needed.
Rosemary Cambra	3 May 2017	-Contact letter sent via email.
	24 May 2017	-Could not contact by phone, emailed original letter.
Andrew Galvan	3 May 2017	-Contact letter sent via email.
	24 May 2017	-Could not contact by phone, emailed original letter.
Ann Marie Sayers	3 May 2017	-Contact letter sent via email.
	24 May 2017	-Network busy message (twice).
		-Left message.

---

Consultation conducted by S. Psota